ω	
9	
de	

	PLAINTIFFS	DESIGNATION OF DI (Testifying I) (Counter-Do	TION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text) Deposition February 23 & 24, 2005	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF REUBEN OSAZUWA (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) (Counter-Designations in italicized text)  Char Deposition February 23 & 24, 2005	Chambers Copy
Päge/Line Cite	Defendants' Objections and Counter- Designations	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Defendants' Cross- Examination Designations	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations
5:3, Vol. I				need to add	
11:8-11, Vol. I		19:37 -N	3		
42:24- 43:15, Vol. I					
Volume II					
109:25- 110:2, Vol.	Prejudicial, irrelevant and misleading.	Plaintiffs do not intend to introduce this			
F	Akure because a particular Mopol unit	on the issue of CNL's control over the GSF.			
	was suspected in stealing chemicals from	In this instance, the head of CNL's			
	a CNL facility.	security, Uwaka			
	designated this	"Change out"			

SFI-582640v1

## PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF REUBEN OSAZUWA (Counter-Designations in italicized text) (Testifying By Way of Deposition Only) Deposition February 23 & 24, 2005

Page/Line Cite Designations Defendants' Objections Defendants' Objections Defendants' Objections Counter-Designations Examination Examination Counter-Designations Examination Examination Examination Examination Examination Counter-Designations Examination  Designations  Examination Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examination  Examination  Designations  Examinations  Examinations  Designations  Examinations  Examinations  Examinations  Designations  Examinations  Designations  Examinations  Des			Districted Decreases to	Defendante?	Plaintiffs' Objections and	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs'
testimony in an effort to mislead the jury that the deponent's trip to Akure was somehow related to the alleged torture of Bola Oyinbo. It was not. FRE 401-403.  Prejudicial, irrelevant and misleading. Deponent went to Akure because a particular Mopol unit was suspected in stealing chemicals from a CNL facility. Plaintiffs have designated this testimony in an effort to mislead the jury that the deponent's trip to	Page/Line Cite	and Counter- Designations	Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Cross- Examination Designations	Counter-D	Counter-Designations
		testimony in an effort to mislead the jury that the deponent's trip to	troublesome MOPOL.			
		Akure was somehow related to the alleged				
		torture of Bola Oyinbo. It was not. FRE 401-403.				
	110:11-	Prejudicial, irrelevant and misleading.				
Akure because a particular Mopol unit was suspected in stealing chemicals from a CNL facility. Plaintiffs have designated this testimony in an effort to missead the jury that the deponent's trip to	Vol. II	Deponent went to		•		
was suspected in stealing chemicals from a CNL facility.  Plaintiffs have designated this testimony in an effort to missead the jury that the deponent's trip to		Akure because a				
stealing chemicals from a CNL facility. Plaintiffs have designated this testimony in an effort to missead we jury that the deponent's trip to		was suspected in				
Plaintiffs have designated this testimony in an effort to mislead the jury that the deponent's trip to		stealing chemicals from				
designated this testimony in an effort to missical the jury that the deponent's trip to		Plaintiffs have				
mislead the jury that the deponent's trip to		designated this				
deponent's trip to		testimony in an effort to				
		deponent's trip to				

SFI-582640v1

objection overruled)

111:21-112:5 (if

	Page/Line Cite				Dec
Akure was somehow related to the alleged torture of Bola Oyinbo. It was not. FRE 401-	Defendants' Objections and Counter- Designations				PLAINTIFFS
	Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants' Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	Depositio	(Counter-Do	(Testifying l	PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY
	Defendants' Cross- Examination Designations	Deposition February 23 & 24, 2005	(Counter-Designations in italicized text)	(Testifying By Way of Deposition Only)	EPOSITION TES
	Plaintiffs' Objections and Counter-Designations	24, 2005	icized text)	ition Only)	STIMONY OF REUBEN OSAZUWA
	Defendants' Responses to Flamulis Objections and Objections to Counter-Designations	m - Liggi			SAZUWA

SFI-582640v1